Φύσις κρύπτεσθαι φιλεῖ

Physis, Nature, Concealment, and Natural Change

The phrase $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma \kappa \rho \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \varphi \iota \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$ – attributed to Heraclitus [See Note 1] – is often translated along the following lines: Nature loves to conceal Herself (or, Nature loves to hide).

Such a translation is somewhat inaccurate, for several reasons.

First, as used here, by Heraclitus, the meaning of $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is rather different from his other usage of the term, as such usage is known to us in other fragments of his writings. For the sense here is of $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ rather than $\varphi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ – a subtle distinction that is often overlooked; that is, what is implied is that which is the origin behind the other senses, or usages, of the term $\varphi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$.

Thus, $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (Physis) is not simply what we understand as Nature; rather, Nature is one way in which $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is manifest, presenced, to us: to we human beings who possess the faculty of consciousness and of reflexion (Thought). That is, what we term Nature [See Note 2] has the being, the attribute, of Physis.

As generally used – for example, by Homer – $\varphi \dot{\omega} \sigma \iota \zeta$ suggests the character, or nature, of a thing, especially a human being; a sense well-kept in English, where Nature and nature can mean two different things (hence one reason to capitalize Nature). Thus, we might write that Nature has the nature of Physis.

Second, $\kappa\rho\dot{\nu}\pi\tau\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ does not suggest a simple concealment, some intent to conceal – as if Nature was some conscious (or anthropomorphic) thing with the ability to conceal Herself. Instead, $\kappa\rho\dot{\nu}\pi\tau\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ implies a natural tendency to, the innate quality of, being – and of becoming – concealed or un-revealed.

Thus – and in reference to fragments 1 and 112 – we can understand that $\kappa\rho\delta\pi\tau\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha$ suggests that $\phi\delta\sigma\iota\zeta$ has a natural tendency (the nature, the character) of being and of becoming un-revealed to us, even when it has already been revealed, or dis-covered.

How is or can $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (Physis) be uncovered? Through $\lambda \dot{\delta} \gamma \delta \varsigma$ (cf. fragments 1, and 112).

Here, however, logos is more than some idealized (or moralistic) $truth [\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\alpha]$ and more than is implied by our term word. Rather, logos is the activity, the seeking, of the essence – the nature, the character – of things $[\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\alpha]$ akin to Heidegger's revealing which essence also has a tendency to become covered by words, and an abstract (false) truth $[\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\alpha]$ which is projected by us onto things, onto beings and Being.

Thus, and importantly, $\lambda \delta \gamma o \zeta$ – understood and applied correctly – can uncover (reveal) $\Phi \delta \sigma \iota \zeta$ and yet also – misunderstood and used incorrectly – serve to, or be the genesis of the, concealment of $\Phi \delta \sigma \iota \zeta$. The correct logos – or a correct logos – is the ontology of Being, and the $\lambda \delta \gamma o \zeta$ that is logical reasoning is an essential part of, a necessary foundation of, this ontology of Being, this seeking by $\varphi \iota \lambda o \zeta$, a friend, of $\sigma o \varphi \delta v$. Hence, and correctly, a philosopher is a friend of $\sigma o \varphi \delta v$ who seeks, through $\lambda \delta \gamma o \zeta$, to uncover – to understand – Being and beings, and who thus suggests or proposes an ontology of Being.

Essentially, the nature of Physis is to be concealed, or hidden (something of a mystery) even though Physis becomes revealed, or can become revealed, by means such as $\lambda \delta \gamma o \varsigma$. There is, thus, a natural change, a natural unfolding – of which Nature is one manifestation – so that one might suggest that Physis itself is this process [the type of being] of a natural unfolding which can be revealed and which can also be, or sometimes remain, concealed.

Third, $\varphi \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\iota}$ [$\varphi i \lambda \circ \zeta$] here does not suggest "loves" – nor even a *desire* to – but rather suggests *friend*, companion, as in Homeric usage.

In conclusion, therefore, it is possible to suggest more accurate translations of the phrase $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ $\kappa \rho \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\omega \iota \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota$. All of which correctly leave $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ untranslated (as Physis with a capital P), since $\Phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is the source of certain beings [or, to be precise, Physis is the source of, the being behind, our apprehension of certain beings] of which being Nature is one, and of which our own, individual, character, as a particular human being, is another.

One translation is: *Concealment accompanies Physis*. Or: Concealment remains with Physis, like a friend. Another is: The natural companion of Physis is concealment.

Or, more poetically perhaps, but much less literally, one might suggest: *Physis naturally seeks to remain something of a mystery*.

DW Myatt 2455357.951

Notes:

[1] Fragmentum B 123 – Fragmente der Vorsokratiker ed. H. Diels, Berlin 1903. An older reference for the text, still sometimes used, is Fragment 10 [Epigrammaticus] (cf. GTW Patrick, after Bywater; et al). If the first letter of $\varphi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ is not capitalized, then the phrase is $\varphi \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota \varsigma \kappa \rho \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \varphi \iota \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$

Heraclitus flourished c. 545 – 475 BCE.

[2] Nature can be said to be both a type of being, and that innate, creative, force (that is, $\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta}$) which animates physical matter and makes it living.

Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International license